

Stage 3 Policies 2015

Joint Consultation with Peakirk 1000 over Landscape Character Areas and Village Character Areas

Peakirk Past and Future

An invitation to the Village Hall On Sunday

15 November

2 til 4pm

(With refreshments)

**Looking forward;
the consultation on our neighbourhood
plan**

and

**Looking back;
Peakirk's first 1000 Years
Time to celebrate?**

The earliest record of Peakirk dates to 1016 – help us to celebrate 1000 years with fun activities appealing to all ages and spread out over the year. **Come along -** if you would like to know more - or if you have:

- Ideas
- old photos of the village or its residents
- Stories to tell of village life and events
- Old objects, maps, papers etc
- Skills to offer - **& more**

Writing the Neighbourhood Plan has proved more difficult and time consuming than ever imagined.

We are now reaching an important stage.

Our draft plan will soon be ready for scrutiny by an examiner and then residents will have the opportunity to vote in support or against.

Ongoing consultation to help shape the plan is essential and we will welcome your views on 15th November

If you can't be there, but would like to be involved, contact Trish Roberts: 01733 253111 or email stpegal1000@gmail.com
For the Neighbourhood Plan contact Sally Jackson
jackson.sallyann@gmail.com

Character Area Consultation PNP 15th November 2015 2-4pm

Character Area Consultation – Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan

Sunday 15th November 2015 2-4pm

Sally-Ann Jackson Page 1 02/12/2016

Number attending: 53

Invited: all residents of the Neighbourhood Area and general invitation to neighbouring parish areas.

Why were they there?

We asked all visitors specific questions about our work so far and also asked for general comments and suggestions.

We shared the afternoon with the initial meeting of the Peakirk Millenium Celebrations Group.

2016 will be the 1,000 year celebration of the first mention of Peakirk as a settlement.

Visitors were invited to look at our Character Area displays, volunteer ideas for events to celebrate our millennium year [Peakirk past and future] and have a cup of tea and a biscuit whilst watching slides of old Peakirk photographs. Many of the people present were actually in the photographs.

It was a successful, friendly afternoon and we all felt we had gained much from it.

Landscape Character Area Consultation.

The first part of our display showed a map of the proposed 13 Landscape Character Areas with photos of each area to make sure people knew which one we were talking about.

13 Deeping Road East including South Drain

14 The Old Wildfowl Trust

15A Deeping Road West.

15B Hermitage field

16 Rectory Lane North and South Fields

17 Allotments, Rimmer's field and 14-24 St Pegas Rd field

18 St Pegas Rd to Foxcovert Rd [up to the Car Dyke]

19 Werrington Lakes

20 Between the railway and the Folly River from Werrington parkway to Thorney Road.

21 South of the Folly River to Werrington parkway

22 The Folly River North and South

23 Thorney Road to the Corporation Bank

24 Follybank Crossing Meadows

The draft Landscape Characterizations for all 13 areas were available on the table to be read, along with general information including:

- Descriptions of the 2 National Character Areas,
- Peterborough City Council Landscape Character Assessment,

- Urban Fringe Landscape Sensitivity Study 2007
- Potential Urban Extensions to Peterborough – Landscape Sensitivity Appraisal 2007
- Details of the Agricultural Land Classification,
- The National Soils Institute Soilscape Map of the area,
- Details of archaeological excavations, as recorded by Archsearch

We talked to visitors and explained the concept of dividing our countryside into different Landscape Character Areas before asking the following questions.

Questions asked with Comments and Answers:

1. The areas on the map have been selected because of their distinct character. Have we got the boundaries right?
 - a. Everybody who answered agreed the areas were correct.
2. What do you most value about the countryside surrounding Peakirk?

Popular answers included:

 - a. It's open rural nature,
 - b. access for walking and cycling,
 - c. open space,
 - d. chance to escape from traffic and people,
 - e. just being a village.
3. What do you least value about the countryside surrounding Peakirk?

Popular answers included:

 - a. poor signage on the Green Wheel,
 - b. poor connections between offroad cycling areas,
 - c. unsafe roads,
 - d. poor maintenance of roads and footpaths, especially for cyclists on Deeping Road
 - e. general safety when using the roads especially regarding speeding traffic.
4. Do you have a favourite walk/cycle around Peakirk? How often do you use it?

Most people had their own favourite area for walking and cycling around Peakirk, which extended beyond our Neighbourhood Area, but popular answers included:

 - a. Rectory Lane,
 - b. North Fen Road,
 - c. permissive path to South Drain and Northborough,
 - d. across to the Folly River.
5. What improvements to our countryside would make Peakirk a better place?
 - a. Planting more trees was popular, although
 - b. Maintenance of existing ones was raised as an issue,
 - c. Better access to the many drains especially Folly River,
 - d. Maintenance of new woodland area Betty's wood planted by local people,
 - e. Dark skies would improve the feeling of being away from the town. This would be helped by switching off streetlights during the night.

- f. Public access to the old Wildfowl Trust site, but not development as this would spoil it. Tastefully recreational.

Settlement Character Area Consultation.

The second display showed how the areas where we live in our Neighbourhood Plan Area had been divided up into 12 different Character Areas with photos of each area to make sure people knew which one we were talking about.

1 St Pegas Rd Pumping Station to No 21

2 St Pegas Rd Granary to No 10

3 The Memorial Square

4 Rectory Lane, the Church and Chestnut Close

5 Thorney Rd and Deeping Road

6 Firdale Close

7 The Mallards

8 Mill Close

9 The Sanderlings

10 Penwald Court

11 Long Meadow Rd including the fields either side down to the corner.

12 Foxcovert Rd including to the parish border with Glington and from the Foxcovert spinney to the parkway and the railway line

The draft Settlement Characterizations for all 12 areas were available, along with general information including:

- Village Envelopes in Peterborough 2011
- Peakirk Conservation Area Appraisal Report and Management Plan 2010
- List Entry Summary Listed Buildings in Peakirk

We talked to visitors and explained the concept of dividing our village into different Settlement Character Areas before asking the following questions.

Questions asked with Comments and Answers:

1. The areas on the map have been selected because of their distinct character. Have we got the boundaries right?
 - a. Everybody who answered agreed the areas were correct.
2. Do you have any comments on any of these areas?
 - a. Some people asked why Meadow Road and Foxcovert Road were included as these are outside the Village Envelope. We explained that they are homes in open countryside in the Neighbourhood Area and should be considered.
3. Which of the areas add to or take away from the village character?
 - a. The historic core of the Conservation Area was important to the character of the village and should not be spoilt.
 - b. People who lived in an area thought it was attractive, or they wouldn't have bought a house there.

- c. Firdale Close was popular as, although it is 1970s design and difficult to insulate, there is plenty of space for children to run around, not on top of each other.
 - d. Newer houses closer together, but just part of modern design.
4. How would you rate each area as suitable for small future development?
- a. Not much space to build.
 - b. Neaverson's Yard only obvious space.
 - c. Could agree to some infill at the Glinton end to make a more definite entrance to the village, even up the gateway and make more obvious where 30mph speed limit started.
5. How important is it for future development to be 'in keeping' with the existing character of this area?
- a. For and against
 - b. Wouldn't want 'mock' historic, look silly.
 - c. Modern houses can be attractive
 - d. Have to fit into surroundings and not spoil overall view into the village.
 - e. Harmonious
6. What sort of development would you be happy to see?
- a. No development that joined us to Glinton or Werrington.
 - b. Biggest fear joining to Werrington, already escaped from Werrington, don't want to be swallowed up.
 - c. Last small village in the area, don't spoil it.
 - d. Need some smaller houses for new families and older people.
 - e. Not tucked away down cul-de-sac, need to be part of the village
 - f. The odd infill house, not large areas of new housing
 - g. Protect views between houses into open countryside, reminds you that you live in a village.
 - h. Werrington end more suitable if we have to have housing.
 - i. Don't want any more houses.

We asked visitors to rate criteria which could be considered by any future development proposals. Everybody who questioned agreed that we had identified the most important issues.

The criteria picked out as most important by a majority of people were:

Scale of Development and

Cumulative Effect

The rest are not rated in any particular order of importance, as there was much discussion and disagreement about their relative importance to development in our Neighbourhood Area. They are:

Visual Capacity

Identification of suitable areas

Design Guidance

Sustainability

Sequence of development.



Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan 2016-2030



**The Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan is
nearly ready for its final formal
consultation.
Come and have an early look and tell
us what you think.**



The Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan 2030 is nearly ready to be submitted to Peterborough City Council. Before we do that we want to be sure that everybody has had a chance to comment on the plan.

The consultation will last from August 29th to October 15th 2016 to give everybody a chance to read the plan thoroughly and comment on it.

You can access the plan online at www.peakirkvillage.co.uk or from our parish clerk 01733 253397 peakirkparishcouncil@hotmail.co.uk

Please comment by 15th October by

- Filling in a comment card and returning to our clerk, 8 The Park St Pegas Rd
- Email comments to peakirk2025@gmail.com
- Write a letter and send it to our clerk, 8 The Park St Pegas Rd.
- Come to our final consultation event on

Saturday 15th October 2-4pm at Peakirk Village Hall.

What will Peakirk look like in 2030?

Have your say again!

Comments please to the parish clerk on the reverse side of
this postcard between

**Monday 29th August and Saturday 15th
October 2016**



Name:

Address:

Contact details:

General comments on the Peakirk 2030 Neighbourhood Plan:

Ideas I support:

Ideas I am doubtful about:

Changes I would suggest:

Post your completed postcard to the parish clerk 8 The Park St Pegas Rd, drop in at our open session on Saturday 15th October 2-4pm at Peakirk Village Hall or email us at: peakirk2025@gmail.com

The data you provide here is being collected and securely stored by Peakirk Parish Council. Your personal information will be used solely for the purposes of communicating with you about the project. Your responses to the feedback form will be collated to ensure that your identity is protected. The collated results will be reviewed by Peakirk Parish Council and be used to inform any changes to the Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan 2030.



Last chance to Comment on the Peakirk
Neighbourhood Plan.

Consultation closes on

Saturday October 15th 2016.

Drop in at the Village Hall between
2 and 4pm

and tell us what you think.

peakirk2025@gmail.com



Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan 2030

Pre-Submission Consultation

Monday 29th August to Saturday 15th October 2016

Drop in Sessions on both days at Peakirk Village Hall 2-4pm

Dear Resident/Business Owner,

The Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan Project Group has now completed the draft Neighbourhood Plan and we want to get your views to ensure we are on the right track and that you agree with the strategy we have developed on your behalf.

The Plan can be downloaded or accessed at www.peakirkvillage.co.uk or a hard copy can be borrowed by contacting our parish clerk at

8 The Park St Pegas Rd, 01733 253397 or email peakirkparishcouncil@hotmail.co.uk

We also have CDs available with the plan details which the can be collected from the parish clerk.

This is your draft Neighbourhood Plan.

It has been drawn up using the comments you have given us during all the consultations held during 2013 to 2016. We now need your comments on the results before it goes on its next round of consultation, which will be conducted by Peterborough City Council.

It's very important that you take the time to read the draft Plan and give us your views, as these will add strength to the proposals. If you disagree with anything, we need to know so that we can take this into account and make amendments, where appropriate. If you think we have missed something, or not designated the appropriate areas for extra protection, please let us know too.

YOUR opinions are valuable – It's YOUR community and village, we need to know what you think.

There are several different ways to make your comments:

- A comment card is provided with this letter for you to complete and then return to the Parish Clerk at 8 The Park St Pegas Rd.
- Comments can be emailed to peakirk2025@gmail.com
- You can write a letter and send it to the parish clerk at 8 The Park St Pegas Rd
- You can attend either or both of our drop in events that mark the start and finish of this consultation and tell us your views.

The first consultation event was held on Bank Holiday Monday 29th August.

The consultation period lasts more than 6 weeks and you will have another chance to speak to us directly on Saturday October 15th at the Village Hall.

All comments should be returned by 15 October 2016. If you have any questions regarding the plan please contact any member of the planning team on

Peakirk2025@gmail.com

Thank you in advance for your time and for supporting the Neighbourhood Plan

Cllr SallyAnn Jackson Chair Peakirk Neighbourhood Planning Project Group

Regulation 14 Consultation Monday 29th August 2016

Pre-submission Consultation Event

Bank Holiday Monday 29th August 2016

Peakirk Village Hall 2-4pm

27 visitors signed in:

Kamal Sisodia

Roy and Ann Pettitt

Debbie and Andrew Armitage

Pat and Ejler Johansen

Pauline and Barry Cooke

Brian and Susan Chilvers

Stan and Jenny Houchen

Dave and Claire Nunn

Lisa Bowen

Rob and Michele Hodgett

Dean and Christine Garwell

N Jackson

David Dearman

Steven Ward

Arthur and Freda Neaverson

Brian and Sheila Lever

We had arranged the event so that there was a separate table to consult on how the plan met the aims expressed by previous consultations.

Aim 1 Housing SAJ

Aim 2 Community DH

Aim 3 Getting About AT

Aime 4 Environment DF

EC was on the door and TR helped Nicola Fovargue with the teas and general enquiries.

10 goody bags were given out, which contained a CD with the plan on it, a comment card, a summary of the plan and a letter explaining the consultation process.

Hard copies of the plan were loaned out to 3 people.

Each table had the relevant Evidence documents and copies of the letter and comment card to be taken by our visitors.

People were invited to stick post it notes on the boards with their comments.

We also displayed the original boards from the 1st consultation, the pictures of the Character Areas and the Landscape Character Areas, the large scale map of the parish showing the boundaries and the map showing Peakirk's place in relation to other local parishes.

All comments received were favourable.

Most people were concerned with the future of the old Wildfowl Trust site, which is threatened with development.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM PUBLIC CONSULTATION 28 th AUGUST 2016	
General support of the Plan	5
Support smaller houses (includes affordable)	10
Support no development outside envelope	11
Support develop't on Neaverson's yard+infill	8
Support green space designation for WFT(includes 'no building on WFT')	22
Support green space designation for church field	10
Consider designating as green space, the village green	8
Support green space designation of grass field north of WFT east side Deeping Rd	1
Support green space designation for Firdale grassed area	1
Enforce tidy up of WFT	2
Support 20 houses on WFT Deeping Rd	2
Support 10 houses and a park on WFT	1
Support small development on WFT if includes park and commercial property	1
Low level speed bumps	1
Dedicated parking areas for new developments	2
Reduced speeding through village	2
Encourage residents to use local (22) bus	1
Cycle/footpath along Thorney Rd and to Northborough	5
Bigger water pipes	1
South drain needs dredging	1

Results of the final consultation of the Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan Oct 15th 2016

5 residents attended yesterday's consultation session.

2 comments forms were received which expressed support for the Plan and made no suggestions for amendment.

In discussion there was a consensus to retain the village envelope to retain Peakirk's small village status.

There was support for smaller (cheaper) dwellings for young people and older residents wanting to down size.

The hazards of walking along footpath on the Deeping Rd was discussed, traffic volume and speed being an issue.

Minimising the flood risk was discussed in the context of the pumping stations ability to cope with more waste water.

The wildfowl site was considered. The majority view was that it should remain a green undeveloped area although 2 visitors said they would be happy for some executive houses to be built on the land.

We gave explanations about the importance of maintaining the village envelope and how we would be seeking to designate the WFT as a green space.

Results of the Regulation 14 consultation held between August 29th and October 15th 2016

Regulation 14 consultation on the Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan 2016.

November 7, 2016

SAJ

The Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan recently went through its 6 week Regulation 14 consultation. All residents in the parish were contacted to ask for their comments.

We publicized the consultation with posters, flyers to all households in the parish and an article in the Tribune. We also put information on the village website, the village hall Facebook page and the Tribune Facebook page.

We held 2 drop in events in the village hall, gave out copies of the plan on disc, a summary sheet highlighting the major points and distributed postcards, to be returned to the parish clerk with comments. Hard copies of the plan were available to borrow from the parish clerk.

All the local statutory bodies including the Environment Agency, Peterborough City Council, Natural England and Historic England were asked for comments.

During the consultation event on Bank Holiday Monday August 29th we had 27 visitors in 2 hours.

We invited comments on all aspects of the plan, but by far the most popular topic was the future development proposed on the old Wildfowl Trust site.

Of the people who commented,

- 22 supported no development on the site and designation as a Green Space,
- 2 supported 20 houses on the site,
- 1 supported 10 houses and
- 1 supported a small development if it included a park and commercial property.

During the second consultation event held at the village hall on Saturday 15th October which was attended by 5 residents the future of the old Wildfowl Trust was again a subject of most discussion.

- 3 residents wanted it to remain a green space and
- 2 residents said they would welcome some executive housing.

During the consultation period, we also received a further 24 comments from residents by letter, email and postcard.

- 21 of these supported retaining the old Wildfowl Trust site as a green space and
- 3 supported some development.

All 24 residents wanted a more sympathetic use of the site.

The results of this consultation show that

- 55 residents expressed an opinion on the future of the old Wildfowl Trust site.
- 46 of these wanted no development and more protection of the green space.
- 9 wanted some development ranging from small to larger.







Revisions made to the Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan as a result of the Regulation 14 consultation.

Peakirk NP 2030

List of Revisions as a result of Reg 14 Consultation.

October 2016

SAJ

Preliminary

Comment from	General	Specific	Result
PCC	Second sentence	mistake	

Section One Vision

Comment from	General	Specific	Result
Natural England	Supportive -Protect and enhance the countryside, landscape and green infrastructure whilst promoting small scale development.		
PCC	Broadly supported and consistent with emerging Local Plan		
Historic England	Welcome the recognition and commitment to protection of the heritage within the Vision.		
Richard Kay PCC	Well structured, clear in terms of what is policy and what is supporting text. Policies structured and written appropriately for planning purposes.		

Section Two Aims and Objectives

Comment from	General	Specific	Result
PCC	Broadly supported appropriate. Links between Objectives and policies clear and well presented and welcome.		
PNP consultation Oct 15 th 2016	General support		
Historic England	Welcome commitment to safeguard and enhance historic character in Aim 4. Would encourage a specific Objective – Preservation and Enhancement of the historic environment.		

Section Three Policies

Comment from	General	Specific	Result
	PK1 Preventing coalescence between Peakirk and Glinton		
PCC		General supported. Minor change in grammar.	
Consultation 28 th August		No development outside the Village Envelope 11 people supported.	
NPIERS		Change wording	

	PK2 Important Views		
PCC		Add to text that views shown on the map. Clarify terminology.	
Historic England	Para 7	Good setting out of landscape character and Peakirk's status as Fen Edge Village. Change of terminology recommended. Add 'with fields of a smaller scale'	
NPIERS		More evidence Change name?	
	PK3 Protect and enhance the countryside		
Natural England Jane Nutall		Particularly welcome	
PCC		Encouraged to remove policy	
Consultation 28 th August		No development outside the Village Envelope 11 people supported.	
PNP consultation Oct 15 th 2016		Lengthy discussion resulted in consensus to retain VE and Peakirk's small village status.	
Historic England		This policy provides the function of addressing the historic environment and is supported. A name change could reflect this better. Further para about the setting of the St Pega's church, Car Dyke and Peakirk House [Greystones] Further recognition of other elements that make up the historic environment beyond the designated assets alone. A worry that drawing the boundary too tightly will lead to intensification of sites within the VE, including the setting of the listed buildings and Conservation Area. Possibility of including land within the Conservation Area to avoid challenges in the future.	
NPIERS		delete	
	PK4 Neaverson's yard		
PCC		Add possible contamination issues. Parking policy changes	
Anglian Water		Scale of development unknown so would wish to comment further on specific proposals.	
Historic England		Require schemes for redevelopment to include an archeological assessment. [Words suggested]	
Consultation 28 th		Support development on	

August		Neaverson's Yard and infill 8 people. Dedicated parking areas for new development 2 people.	
NPIERS		More info on parking	
	PK5 Design and amenity		
PCC		Changes and map addition	
Historic England		Welcome policy. Be aware of stymie innovative or contemporary design. [Suggestion for rewording]	
NPIERS		1 st part positive. Add to it and remove vague bits	
	PK6 Small and micro businesses		
PCC		OK	
NPIERS		Change line 3	
	PK7 Dwelling size and type		
PCC		Conflict with PK5? Changes to policy	
Consultation 28 th August		Support smaller houses, including Affordable Housing 10 people	
PNP consultation Oct 15 th 2016		Support for smaller cheaper dwellings for young people and older.	
NPIERS		May be conflict	
	PK8 Drainage and Flood Risk		
Natural England Jane Nutall		Support –Development not have adverse impact on water environment, ensure protection of nearby water-dependent designated site Deeping Lakes SSSI	
Richard Kay PCC		Problem, not sentiment of policy but may unintentionally seek less onerous requirements than national or new Local Plan. Delete?	
PCC		Remove?	
Consultation 28 th August		Bigger water pipes 1 person. South Drain needs dredging 1 person.	
PNP consultation Oct 15 th 2016		Worried about the ability of ex pumping stations to cope with more	

		waste water.	
Anglian Water		Support requirements for applicants to demonstrate that there is surface water and waste water infrastructure available or that it can be made to serve the development. Support SuDS drainage and would like to see their use to reduce the risk of surface water and sewer flooding. Helpful to include requirement for applicants to utilize SuDS where feasible on development sites within the parish.	
NPIERS		delete	
	PK9 Building sustainable homes		
PCC		Minor change to wording	
NPIERS		Change wording	
Anglian Water		Welcome the reference to encouraging applicants to minimize the use of clean water.	
	PK10 Community Renewable energy		
PCC		OK	
NPIERS		Good	
	PK11 Green Infrastructure		
Natural England Jane Nutall		Particularly welcome Make reference to PCC Green Infrastructure Strategy in policy.	
PCC		Discuss further with PCC appropriate wording.	
NPIERS		Delete and add to projects	
	PK12 Trees		
PCC		Amend wording	
NPIERS		Define semi-mature	
	PK13 Local Green Space		
PCC		Amend wording	
Consultation 28 th August		Support further designation as Local Green Space WFT 22 people. Hermitage Field 10 people. Village Green 8 people. Deeping Rd East 1 person Firdale 1 person.	
PNP consultation		Majority view strongly expressed	

Oct 15 th 2016		that Old WFT should be protected as a Local Green Space. 2 visitors said could support some executive houses.	
NPIERS		Reword policy	
Local Residents		Overwhelming support for protecting the WFT and not allowing development. 21 comments. Plus 3 comments supporting some development. Calls for something to be done with it from all 24 people.	
	PK14 Allotments		
PCC		Amend justification	
NPIERS		Reword policy	

Section Four Village Projects

Comment from	General	Specific	Result
Consultation 28 th August		Low level speed bumps 1 person. Reduce speeding through the village 2 people. Encourage use of local bus 1 person. Cycleway/footpath along Thorney Rd and to Northborough 5 people.	
PNP consultation Oct 15 th 2016		Deeping Rd footpath needed. Traffic volume and speed a problem.	
NPIERS		Change page 54	

Appendices

Comment from	General	Specific	Result
PCC	Background docs full title, year and who produced them		

Overall Comments

Natural England: generally supportive

PCC SEA Screening:

- Not required as, only apply in very limited area where few proposals are anticipated and any proposals are expected to be of a relatively small scale.
- No requirement for any replacement of the Local Plan to conform to the policies of the PNP it may have some [limited] influence on policies within a future local plan.
- Includes policies which factor environmental considerations PK12 Trees and PK13 Local Green Space which allows development which enhances biodiversity.
- Does integrate environmental considerations with a view to promoting sustainable development, not anticipated as being significant.

- Northern village and NE of parish flood Zones 2 or 3 but PNP does not have specific implications within these areas.
- Any effects are expected to be positive and localized and not significant.
- NE and EA agree.
- Useful EA comments.

Richard Kay PCC

- Looked at by Development Manager Lee Collins
- Natural and Historic Environment Team

PCC:

- look at spelling and Grammar.
- Well presented, user friendly, good use of photos and illustrations.
- Fit for purpose as a planning document.
- Clear definition between Policies and Projects.
- Meets basic conditions subject to comments.
 - Add paragraph numbering.
 - Is it Necessary to reference Core Strategy policies as soon be out of date.

Consultation 28th August

- 40plus people attended.
- General support for plan with no further comments 5 people
- Designation of WFT site as Local Green Space much discussed
 - LGS designation 22 people
 - Enforced tidy up of WFT 2 people
 - Support 20 houses on WFT site 2 people
 - Support 10 houses on WFT site 1 person
 - Support small development with park and commercial property 1 person
 -

Consultation 15th October:

- 5 residents attended
- 2 comment forms supportive with no changes recommended

Environment Agency:

- Four pages of detailed but non specific guidance.

Lincs CC

- No comments

Comments made during the Regulation 14 Consultation of the Peakirk Neighbourhood Plan 2030 regarding the designation of the Old Wildfowl Trust site as a Local Green Space.

Comments from residents include:

Consultation 28 th August	40plus residents attended.	Designation of WFT site as Local Green Space much discussed. Support as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LGS designation 22 people • Enforced tidy up of WFT 2 people • Support 20 houses on WFT site 2 people • Support 10 houses on WFT site 1 person • Support small development with park and commercial property 1 person
--------------------------------------	----------------------------	--

Consultation 15th October:	5 residents attended	General support for the plan 3 residents wanted WFT site to remain a green space. 2 residents supported some executive homes on the site.
Emails and letters received during the consultation period.	Revell Family	Agree with plan Future of the Wildfowl Trust a concern. Support Local Green Space designation
	Pye Family	support
	Burton Family	support for NP support for LGS at WFT site
	Phil Evans	Supports the plan Include wildlife within the Vision
	Mrs Muriel Papworth and daughter Emma	Worried about proposed development on WFT site, extra traffic, high flood risk, historic legacy Support for LGS designation. Unique historic character of Peakirk Support for Neighbourhood Plan.
	Gary Southern, Tracey and Roni McLoughlin	Support Concern for future of the old WFT site.
	Denise and Martyn Parker	Support No further development outside the Village Envelope
	Phil and Donna Das	Strong opposition to development on WFT Support for NP No further development outside VE More vehicles meaning more congestion on the roads
	Gerry Cannings	Support NP Disagree with development on WFT site.
	Cheyne Little and family	Supports NP Wants to see consensus and future plan for WFT site
	Will and Kerry Heading	Support for PC on WFT site
	Jan Luff	Supports NP Wants some action taken on WFT to make trees safe Not adverse to small sensible building proposals Worried about the safety of horses on site.
	Barry and Pauline Cooke	In favour of all proposals In full agreement with the complete document
	Christine Dearman Sec Peakirk PCC	No comments as an organisation as members make their own representations Appreciate the huge amount of work and efforts made to try and preserve the character of Peakirk as a separate village. Also support proposals which emphasize green and open areas for physical and spiritual well being
	David Dearman	Support for no development on the WFT site.

Decision made to add part of the old Wildfowl Trust site that has most impact on the setting of the Conservation Area to the Local Green Space Designation.

Minutes of the Meeting of the Forty-Forth Peakirk Neighbourhood Planning Team

26 October 2016

1 Present

David Hankins (DH), Henry Clark (HC), Anne Tuley (AT), Sally Ann Jackson (SAJ), David Fovargue (DF), Emma Crowson (ECN)

2 Apologies

Trish Roberts

3 Minutes of meeting on 5th October 2016

Previously circulated were agreed.

PCC has said a strategic environment assessment is not required.

The PCC draft Local Plan has been published and it maintains a policy to retain the characteristics of small villages.

4 Consultation Reg 14

The consultation period was closed with an open session in the village hall on 15 October. DH and DF managed the event and 5 people visited. DH recorded a summary.

5 Update of NP Version 7.2

Comments received during the Reg 14 stage were considered for inclusion in the Plan. It was noted that it was our Plan and comments did not have to be adopted however it was conceded that in the main they were helpful if at times contradictory.

One of the main points arising from the Reg 14 stage was the status of the former wild fowl trust site. Overwhelming the view from residents was it should be protected from development. It was agreed to designate it in the Plan as local Green Space. SAJ offered other statutory protection options which she will explore.

SAJ and DF will make the agreed changes and produce version 8 of the Plan.

SAJ said she intended, with DF, to see Phil Hylton PCC Planning Dept after the amendments had been made for a final scrutiny.

6 Timetable

It is hoped to see Mr Hylton by December and have the revised Plan submitted to PCC in December.

7 Date of Next Meeting

To be decided